Building an IP Network Camera

Frank Hunleth Twitter: @fhunleth Erlang Factory 2014

Agenda

- Introducing Erlang to a project
- The camera
- Demo
- Embedded plumbing and development
- Performance
- Conclusion

Embedded Calibration Slide



How to use Language X in an Embedded Project

| C/C++ application | Config scripts, diagnostic, DSL | SA | SUARA | N |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|----|-------|---|
| C/C++ framework | | | | |
| Operating System | | | | |

- Low risk can always implement in C first
- Worked in the past for TCL, Lua, and Javascript
- My first attempt to introduce Erlang to an organization so that it could be used for real on the next project



What makes Erlang/OTP interesting is its focus on robust and scalable **systems**.

Try #3,4, or 5 - IP Camera

- Build a non-trivial embedded device
 - Didn't need to be a camera, but wanted a device with a hard real-time component and decent network usage
 - Make sure that SW infrastructure supports production use cases
 - Should benefit from in robustness or simplicity by using Erlang/OTP
- Questions
 - Will Erlang be too slow?
 - Will Erlang require too much DRAM and Flash memory?
 - Will developing Erlang in a cross-compiled environment be a pain?
 - Will I miss all of the libraries and frameworks available in C?
 - Will I have confidence in the Erlang/OTP platform?

Constraint

- If a feature exists in both Erlang and an embedded Linux environment, use the Erlang version
- Examples
 - No shell scripts
 - No SysV init, systemd, upstart (these provide process initialization and supervision)





Agenda

- Introducing Erlang to a project
- The camera
- Demo
- Embedded plumbing and development
- Performance
- Conclusion

Hardware Setup



Hardware Components



High-level Software Components



Motion JPEG Streaming



Streaming Code

```
send_pictures(Req) ->
Pic = troodon_cam:get_next_picture(),
Msg = [multipart_header(Pic), Pic, delimiter()],
ok = cowboy_req:chunk(Msg, Req),
send_pictures(Req).
```

Demo



Agenda

- Introducing Erlang to a project
- The camera
- Demo
- Embedded plumbing and development
- Performance
- Conclusion

Nerves-Project Work Flow



Raw SDCard Image



Raw images are only needed for initial code load and bulk device programming

relsync

- Reprogramming SDCards gets old quickly!!!
- relsync synchronizes the files in the generated Erlang/OTP release directory with corresponding ones on the target
- Like *rsync* except
 - Communicates via the Erlang distribution protocol
 - Reloads modules that changed
 - Runs scripts pre and post sync to stop and start Erlang/OTP applications (needed to update ports)
- Limitations
 - Target must have writable FS (currently using a union FS)
 - NIFs and linked-in port drivers can't be updated

Initialization - Booting to Erlang

- erlinit
 - Replacement for /sbin/init that starts an Erlang/OTP release
 - Similar to a release start script, but in C
 - Supports remounting root fs with unionfs for development
 - Configurable via Linux kernel command line

Agenda

- Introducing Erlang to a project
- The camera
- Demo
- Embedded plumbing and development
- Performance
- Conclusion

Performance - Throughput

- Imager set to capture at 45 fps (22 ms/frame)
- Browser stats
 - Average FPS ~43.5 fps (23 ms/frame)
 - About 1 frame dropped per second
- Profiling revealed JPEG encode time taking 22-23.5 ms
 - AM3358 doesn't support HW encoding
 - Did not investigate tuning JPEG Turbo library
- Take away: Erlang is not a bottleneck

End-to-end Latency Measurements



Software Setup



More info: <u>https://github.com/fhunleth/cam_latency</u>

Latency Results

 Average frame latency 78 ms (best 66 ms, worst 94 ms)



- Easily accounted for latencies 54-66 ms
- Remaining latencies 12-30 ms
 - Not unexpected; requires more instrumentation
 - Doubt that Erlang overhead is significant

Boot Time (4.38 seconds)



DRAM Usage (/proc/meminfo)



- Connect, stream for 5 seconds, disconnect, repeat
- erlang:memory/0 reports 7.7 MB at steady state

Footprint - 18 MB RootFS



- Erlang/OTP release tools significantly trim footprint
- Usage on par with C++ frameworks like Qt

Conclusions

- Will Erlang be too slow?
 - No. Lack of HW compression is the bottleneck as it should have been.
- Will Erlang require too much DRAM and Flash memory?
 - No. Flash footprint was on par with C/C++ frameworks. DRAM usage stable.
- Will developing Erlang in a cross-compiled environment be a pain?
 - Erlang shell + relsync can be pretty nice
- Will I miss all of the libraries and frameworks available in C?
 - Cowboy is far superior to anything I had used in C/C++
 - Still hit or miss when searching for Erlang libraries
- Will I have confidence in the Erlang/OTP platform?
 - Yes platform feels very robust (no unexplained crashes)
 - Interface to C very easy
 - Rough edges look easily fixed with time and effort

Nerves-Project

- All source code is open source
 - Mostly MIT licensed
 - Buildroot and build scripts are GPLv2
- Upcoming
 - Hobby \rightarrow Real products
 - Documentation
 - Network configuration improvements
 - Elixir!!
- <u>http://nerves-project.org</u>

Building an IP Network Camera

Frank Hunleth Twitter: @fhunleth Erlang Factory 2014