CONCURRENCY FOUNT:

A PACE-REGULATED SUPPLY OF NEWLY SPAWNED PROCESSES

Jay Nelson

https://github.com/duomark/epocxy

@duomark

CONCURRENCY FOUNT

WHAT IS IT?

- A reservoir of pre-spawned processes with a regulated replacement behaviour
- A principled approach to using background tasks with back-pressure controls
- Part of Erlang Patterns of Concurrency <u>https://</u> <u>github.com/duomark/epocxy</u>



GEN_SERVER

SIMPLE CLIENT SERVER TASK



RESPONSE WORKERS GEN_SERVER + NOREPLY TO AVOID LATENCY



RESPONSE WORKERS (CONT.) AVOIDING CATASTROPHIC FAILURE

- What if there is a large spike in requests?
 - One worker process per request
 - Memory and CPU pressure can crash the VM
 - Cap the number of active processes
- Epocxy cxy_ctl maintains concurrency counts by category in ets
 - Refuses to execute (or runs inline) when limit exceeded
 - Still have reduced latency, without catastrophic failure risk

PERFORMANCE TUNING ERRATIC WORK LOAD

- Bursty traffic results in heavier CPU load
 - Cost of a spawn is concentrated; memory load heavy
 - Effects all other work done by server
- Closer look reveals short-duration tasks
 - Spawn can be 100-1000x slower than message send
 - Quick tasks benefit from pre-spawned processes
- Worker pools to the rescue!

WORKER POOLS OVERVIEW

- Erlang makes them easy!
- Lots of libraries available!
- Until issues, then details, problems, and finally catastrophic failure...



WORKER POOL ADVANTAGES

- Provide (typically) fixed amount of concurrency
- Workers are supervised for replacement on failure
- Tasks are usually a variety of (not dedicated) function calls
- Long-lived processes, no spawn latency
- Implemented as a library (presumably replaceable)
- Blockage on a per-worker basis if overloaded

WORKER POOL FEATURES

- + CPU usage is predictable due to stable pool size
- + Work load spread until more tasks than workers
 - +/- Task assignment backup on mailbox common (crash loses tasks)
 - Committed tasks can't be withdrawn from mailbox
 - Workers may have process dictionary artifacts
 - Process staleness issues cause fragmentation and GC
 - No back pressure mechanism on overload
- Advanced pools: shared task queue, worker decay, forced replacement

WORKER POOL FAILURE

- Supervisor launches replacement workers
 - Multiple failures can overload supervisor
 - Repeated failure can crash supervisor and pool itself
 - Pool crashes can trigger restart storms
 - Repeated supervisor failure takes out application
- Eliminating supervisor makes worker replacement hard
- Bottleneck issues are pervasive in naive implementations
- Supervisor generally only exists to limit pool size

WORKER POOL FAILURE (CONT.)

- Load balancing not easy (round-robin, random, sampled)
 - Can't predict how long worker will be busy
- Solution: Task assignment only when worker is idle
 - Shared worker queue buffer of pending tasks
 - Yet another coordination/locking mechanism
 - Protection of queue in face of failure
 - Supervisor restart has to deal with pending queue issues

CONCURRENCY FOUNT

LOAD REGULATED WORKERS



CONCURRENCY FOUNT RESERVOIR OF PRE-SPAWNED PROCESSES

- One reservoir per task category
 - Limits request spike impact per subsystem
 - Single use process with explicitly defined behaviour
- All unallocated processes linked to reservoir
 - Allows quick cleanup of idle workers
 - No supervision needed (shouldn't fail while idle)
 - Tasked processes have no relation to reservoir

CONCURRENCY FOUNT TASK ASSIGNMENT

- Allocation always returns pid or list of pids
 - Unlinks returned processes from reservoir
 - Optionally sends message to pid(s) before returning them
- Caller can relink to active computation processes
- Consumption triggers request for replacement processes
 - Spawn rate regulated by a separate gen_fsm
 - Currently one slab per 1/100th of a second
 - Ultimately will be customizable regulator behaviour

CONCURRENCY FOUNT

PROCESS REPLACEMENT



CONCURRENCY FOUNT RESERVOIR IMPLEMENTATION

- Slabs of processes
 - Specify number and size of slabs on initialization
 - Dictates size of spike that can be absorbed
 - Latency occurs only when reservoir depleted
 - Task allocation efficiently manages slabs
 - Replacement only occurs as a slab-sized batch of processes

CONCURRENCY FOUNT REGULATOR IMPLEMENTATION

- Receives replacement requests from reservoir
 - Paces the replacement at one slab per 100th of a second
 - Pace can be adjusted by tuning slab size vs depth
 - Spawning cost doesn't impact client requests
- Messages full slabs back to the reservoir
 - Avoids flooding reservoir with messages
 - Interleaves replacement with client requests

CONCURRENCY FOUNT FOUNT INTERFACE

- Get one or more processes -> returns [pid()] from idle reservoir
- Task one or more processes with [Msgs] -> returns [pid()] from idle reservoir
 - Each message supplied is passed to a separate idle process
- Timer, repeat, or continuation retry executed on client call
 - Provides back-pressure by pausing on retry
 - Gives up if not enough resources
 - Caller can react to [] reply
- Get status of fount
- Get timing: spawn rate, slab rate, etc.

CONCURRENCY FOUNT FOUNT MONITORING

- Register one gen_event with the reservoir which notifies:
 - when slab of pids added (to monitor replacement pace)
 - when reservoir empty and pid needed (for overload notification)
 - when regulator reference changed (dynamic pacing)
 - when unknown messages arrive (log or debug errors)
 - when stopped
- No gen_event is registered by default

CONCURRENCY FOUNT BENEFITS

- Each worker category requires a behaviour (can be shared across categories)
- Tasked processes are independent of idle processes
 - Tasked workers not supervised or linked to application by default
 - Idle workers can be replaced or eliminated easily (dynamic resize reservoir)
 - One-shot use avoids staleness issues with workers
 - Reservoir can absorb spikes beyond normal busy size
- Avoids overload through regulated replacement
 - Pacing enables adaptive, efficient processing
- Provides back-pressure signals for caller decision-making

EXAMPLE USING FOUNT

CONCURRENT PER LINE HEXDUMP



FOUNT BEHAVIORS

%%% create module-specific state inside idle reservoir worker
-spec init({}) -> {}.

init({}) -> {}. % No module state needed

%%% called by regulator to create pids when replacing a slab -spec start_pid(cxy_fount:fount_ref(), {}) -> pid(). start pid(Fount, State) ->

%%% called by API when using cxy_fount:task_pid
-spec send_msg(Worker, hexdump_cmd())

-> Worker when Worker :: worker(). send_msg(Worker, Msg) ->

cxy_fount:send_msg(Worker, Msg).

COLLECT AND REFORMAT RESULTS

```
%%% Send data to be reported
-spec format_data (cxy_fount:fount_ref(), binary(), pid()) -> [pid()].
format data(Fount, Data, Hex Collector) ->
    cxy fount:task pid(Fount, {load, Data, Hex Collector}).
%%% Report results from the final format collector process
-spec report data (pid()) -> string() | no results.
report data() ->
    receive {hexdump, Lines} ->
            lists:flatten(
              [io_lib:format(" ~p. ~s ~s |~s| ~p~n",
                             [Index, Address, Hexpairs, Window, Pid])
               [] {Index, Address, Hexpairs, Window, Pid} <- Lines])</pre>
    after 1000 -> no results
    end.
```

CONCURRENT HEXDUMP WORKER RECEIVE LOOP

```
%%% a single message arrives after unlinking from fount
%%% the freed worker runs to completion
formatter(Fount, {}) ->
  %% Fan out of workers: 1 loader, N formatters, or 1 collector
  %% Each responds to one particular message only.
  receive
```

CONCURRENT HEXDUMP WORKER RECEIVE LOOP (CONT.)

```
%% Collector
{collect, Num_Workers, Requester} ->
    collect_hexdump_lines(array:new(), Num_Workers, Requester)
end.
```

CONCURRENT IEXDUMP EXAMPLE EXECUTION

1> {ok, P1} = cxy_fount_sup:start_link(hexdump_fount, [{}]).
{ok,<0.13657.1>}

2> cxy_fount_sup:get_fount(P1).

<0.13659.1>

3> hexdump_fount:format_data(v(2), <<"This is intended to be a fairly long line of text">>, self()).
[<0.13679.1>]

4> io:format("~s", [hexdump_fount:report_data()]).

0.	000000000	54	68	69	73	20	69	73	20	69	6e	74	65	6e	64	65	64	This is intended	<0.13674.1>
1.	00000010	20	74	6f	20	62	65	20	61	20	66	61	69	72	6c	79	20	to be a fairly	<0.13675.1>
2.	00000020	6c	6f	6e	67	20	6c	69	6e	65	20	6f	66	20	74	65	78	long line of tex	<0.13676.1>
3.	00000030	74																t	<0.13677.1>

CONCLUSION

- Worker pools provide generic reused processes
 - Overload leads to lost tasks, or complicated queuing
 - Hierarchy and bottlenecks lead to catastrophic failures
 - Back-pressure is not intrinsic, hard to add to pool
- Concurrency fount is a stream of fresh, independent processes
 - Application is free to combine them dynamically
 - Simplicity in mechanism removes bottlenecks and hierarchy
 - Pace-regulation of spawns provides back-pressure naturally