Testing database applications with QuickCheck — Tutorial —

Laura M. Castro

Universidade da Coruña (Spain)

Stockholm, 15th November 2010



Outline



- Why do DB applications require special testing?
- The theory: how to test a database application with QuickCheck
- The practise: testing a simple e-shop
- 5 Summing up



- makes intensive use of great amounts of data,
- relies on external storage sources for persistence (e.g., a database).





- makes intensive use of great amounts of data,
- relies on external storage sources for persistence (e.g., a database).





- makes intensive use of great amounts of data,
- relies on external storage sources for persistence (e.g., a database).





- makes intensive use of great amounts of data,
- relies on external storage sources for persistence (e.g., a database).





Why do DB applications require special testing?

- impose complex constraints on the data they handle,
- their correct operation depends on their enforcement.



Why do DB applications require special testing?

- impose complex constraints on the data they handle,
- their correct operation depends on their enforcement.



Why do DB applications require special testing?

Database or data-intensive applications are software systems which:

- impose complex constraints on the data they handle,
- their correct operation depends on their enforcement.



These constraints are usually referred to as **business rules**.

What are they?

- "Statements that define or constrain some aspect of a business (...) intended to assert business structure or to control or influence behavior"
 (B.R. Group, Defining Business Rules What are they really?)
- "Definitions of how the business should be carried out and constraints on the business" (I. Sommerville, Software Engineering)
- "Software is the **realization** of business rules" (R.S. Pressman, *Software Engineering – A practitioner's approach*)



Where are they?





Where are they?





Where are they?





Where are they?





Since business rules are not located in a specific unit or component,

• they are not covered by unit testing.

Since business rules are not located in a specific unit or component,

• they are not covered by unit testing.

Since business rules dictate data-related constraints,

• they are not the scope of integration testing.

Since business rules are not located in a specific unit or component,

• they are not covered by unit testing.

Since business rules dictate data-related constraints,

• they are not the scope of integration testing.

Since business rules need to be respected at all times,

• they are not considered when testing the GUI.

Since business rules are not located in a specific unit or component,

• they are not covered by unit testing.

Since business rules dictate data-related constraints,

• they are not the scope of integration testing.

Since business rules need to be respected at all times,

• they are not considered when testing the GUI.

Business rules must be tested as part of **system testing**.

Why do DB applications require special testing? Because of Business Rules

Therefore, database or data-intensive applications:

- include business rules that put constraints on the data they handle,
- business rules must be enforced by the system at all times,
- location of the business rules is unclear.



Why do DB applications require special testing? Because of Business Rules

Therefore, database or data-intensive applications:

- include business rules that put constraints on the data they handle,
- business rules must be enforced by the system at all times,
- location of the business rules is unclear.

In this tutorial, we will present a methodology to

test business rules at system testing level.



To test that a data-intensive application complies with the data constraints

imposed by its business rules at all times, we use QuickCheck:

- an automatic testing tool,
- generates and runs random sequences of test cases,
- when an error is found, test sequence is shrunk to return a minimal test case.



To test that a data-intensive application complies with the data constraints

imposed by its business rules at all times, we use QuickCheck:

- an automatic testing tool,
- generates and runs random sequences of test cases,
- when an error is found, test sequence is shrunk to return a **minimal**

test case.



In the rest of the tutorial we assume familiarity with Quviq QuickCheck testing tool. We will present the basics of how QuickCheck state machine library works, but explaining these concepts is not the purpose of this specific tutorial.



How to test business rules with QuickCheck





How to test business rules with QuickCheck





How to test business rules with QuickCheck





How to test business rules with QuickCheck





In particular, we use **QuickCheck state machine library**:

- mechanism to easily implement a testing state machine (library callbacks),
- the testing state machine generates and runs test sequences,
- tests are sequences of calls to the functionalities under test.



How are test sequences generated?





How are test sequences generated?





How are test sequences generated?





How are test sequences generated?





How are test sequences generated?





How are test sequences generated?





How are test sequences generated?





QuickCheck statem machine skeleton

-module(test eqc).

```
\gg
```

```
-include lib("egc/include/egc.hrl").
-include lib("eqc/include/eqc statem.hrl").
-compile(export all).
-record(state, {useful info}).
%% Initialize the state
initial state() ->
    #state{useful info = []}.
%% Command generator, S is the state
command(S) \rightarrow
    oneof([ PUBLIC API OPERATIONS ]).
%% Next state transformation. S is the current state
next state(S, V, {call, , , }) ->
    s.
%% Precondition, checked before command is added to the command sequence
precondition(S,{call, , , }) ->
    true.
%% Postcondition, checked after command has been evaluated
%% OBS: S is the state before next_state(S,_, <command>)
postcondition(_S, {call, _, _, }, _Res) ->
    true.
prop statem() ->
    ?FORALL (Cmds, commands (?MODULE),
            begin
                 {H,S,Res} = run commands (?MODULE,Cmds),
                 ?WHENFAIL (
                    io:format("History: ~p~nState: ~p~nRes: ~p~n",[H,S,Res]),
                   Res == ok)
            end).
```

Very simple online shop application:



- Register new customer
- Add new product to shop
- Add product to cart
- Remove product from cart
- Place order
- Cancel order



The practise

UML model: main components



The practise

E/R model: basic data constraints













Business rule

Only golden customers may purchase golden products.





Business rule

Only golden customers may purchase golden products.

Business rules may be implemented in different ways...





Business rules may be implemented in different ways...

... but we only care they actually **are**.





- Explore the simple e-shop implementation given,
- inspect the simpleshop_eqc module stub,
- Ind out if business rule is respected!





- Explore the simple e-shop implementation given,
- inspect the simpleshop_eqc module stub,
- Ind out if business rule is respected! (and if not, fix it!!)



The practise

Outcome: QuickCheck statem machine skeleton for BR testing (I)



```
-module(testbr eqc).
-include lib("eqc/include/eqc.hrl").
-include lib("egc/include/egc statem.hrl").
-compile(export all).
-record(state, {useful info}).
initial state() ->
    #state{useful info = []}.
command(S) \rightarrow
    oneof([ PUBLIC API OPERATIONS (LOCAL WRAPPERS) ]).
next state(S, V,{call, , , }) ->
    S
precondition(S,{call, , , }) ->
    true
postcondition( S, {call, , , }, Res) ->
    true.
prop brstatem() ->
    ?FORALL (Cmds, commands (?MODULE),
            begin
                true = check data invariant(),
                 {H, S, Res} = run commands(?MODULE, Cmds),
                Invariant = check data invariant(),
                clean up(S),
                 ?WHENFAIL(io:format("H ~p~nS ~p~nRes ~p~n", [H, S, Res]),
                           conjunction([{test execution, Res == ok}],
                                         {business rules, Invariant}]))
             end).
```



The practise

Outcome: QuickCheck statem machine skeleton for BR testing (& II)



```
<command>_local(Args) ->
    Expected = expected_result(<command>, Args),
    Obtained = <command>(Args),
    match(Expected, Obtained).
```

check_data_invariant() ->
 IMPLEMENTATION OF BUSINESS RULES AS STORAGE QUERIES.

expected_result (<command>, Args) ->
 QUERY STORAGE TO GUESS RESULT.

clean_up(S) ->
 EMPTY STATE BETWEEN TEST SEQUENCES.



When testing database or data-intensive applications,

- special attention must be paid to data-consistency business rules,
- data-consistency constraints cannot always be trusted to the data storage and can never be trusted to the user interface,
- business rules implementation may be spread over the system,
- system testing is the most adequate level to test for business rules compliance.



Summing up Methodology to test BR using QuickCheck

Use a QuickCheck state machine,

- keep state minimum,
- **add public API operations as commands**/transitions,
 - use local wrappers to predict the result according to existing data,
 - and then match with the result actually obtained
- specify pre- and postconditions as true,
- formulate business rules (invariants) as queries to data storage,
- write property checking invariants after each test sequence.



Summing up

I hope this tutorial has been useful!

Attendants ! thanks



Get help subscribing to: quickcheck-questions@quviq.com Material for images came from: openclipart.org, kde-look.org

