

# Ranking 1v1 Games with Erlang

## How few tools solve large problems

Jesper Louis Andersen

[jesper.louis.andersen@erlang-solutions.com](mailto:jesper.louis.andersen@erlang-solutions.com)

<http://jlouisramblings.blogspot.com>

March 2, 2012

# 1v1 Games

## Typical 1v1 Games:

- ▶ Chess
- ▶ Go
- ▶ WordFeud
- ▶ StarCraft II 1v1 games
- ▶ Quake Live Duels

# Rating Systems

- ▶ Track a *belief* in the skill of a player
- ▶ Base on historical data
- ▶ One simple measure: Did the player win, tie or lose?

## Common rating system: ELO

- ▶ Arpad Elo, implemented 1960, chess rating
- ▶ Simple system - hand calculation possible
- ▶ Assumes Normal Distribution of player skill around a rating
- ▶ Variance is fixed - fixed confidence

## ELO weaknesses:

- ▶ Player A has 32 matches, Player B has 157 - same belief?
- ▶ Player A plays regularly, Player B is returning after a 4 month hiatus - same belief?
- ▶ Player A is consistently beating players above his Rating.

## Glicko 2

- ▶ Created by Mark E. Glickman
- ▶ Tracks three variables for every player:  $R$ ,  $RD$  and  $\sigma$ :
- ▶ The current rating  $R$
- ▶ The variance of the rating  $RD$
- ▶ The *volatility*  $\sigma$  - which is a measure of consistency.
- ▶  $\sigma$  makes the system able to cope with fast-changing players.

## Glicko 2 (cont.)

- ▶ We report a players rating as an Interval
- ▶ Players start out with  $R = 1500$ ,  $RD = 350$
- ▶ System has 95% confidence in the range:  $R \pm 2 \cdot 350$
- ▶ Initial players are somewhere between 800 and 2200 points.
- ▶ As players play more games, we lower the  $RD$  adjust the  $R$
- ▶  $\sigma$  is adjusted as well if the player is fooling the rating system.

# Quake Live

- ▶ A Modern update of the Quake 3 Engine.
- ▶ A game of skill with a high skill ceiling
- ▶ Can best be described as “Chess + Hand/Eye coordination”
- ▶ Weapons, Health, Armor, Timing, Position, “Aim”
- ▶ Game is extremely strong in EU and Russia - Poland has scores of players (Russia, US, Poland are top nations).



Implementing G2 is easy:

- ▶ Full description which is algorithmic.
- ▶ Algorithm is a functional chain - 7 steps
- ▶ Examples for each step
- ▶ As of 22nd of Feb a non-converging loop bug was fixed (QuickCheck! - but took many tests to find)

- ▶ Data is “public” .
- ▶ Write scraper, backed by modules `re`, `httplib` and the `jsx` application (JSON data)
- ▶ Let *PostgreSQL* provide the stable storage

- ▶ Players refreshed every 5 days. Provides matches.
- ▶ Matches are fetched once, then analyzed separately.
- ▶ The system base does *not* care if 3000 refreshers are spawned.
- ▶ Database is built such that it is *idempotent*, i.e.,  
 $s(s(x)) = s(x)$
- ▶ System can restart at any point and the database is consistent.

Enter JOBS, by Ulf Wiger:

```
{ql_fetch,  
  %% Queue Section  
  [{max_time, 300000},  
   {max_size, 300},  
   {type, fifo},  
  %% Regulator section  
  {regulators,  
   [{counter, [{limit, 2}]},  
    {rate, [{limit, 1}]}]}}
```

We don't use dampeners since they are buggy it turns out

```
case jobs:ask(ql_fetch) of
  {ok, _Opaque} ->
    case qlg_overload:ask() of
      yes ->
        fetch_and_store(State);
      no ->
        ok
    end,
    {stop, normal, State}
  {error, Reason} ->
    ...
    {stop, normal, State}
end;
```

# Overload Handling

- ▶ 2 state FSM: Normal/Overloaded
- ▶ Errors or Consistently slow response times trigger overload
- ▶ Waits for 5 to 15 minutes

## Fetch code

- ▶ Doesn't care about limitation, let JOBS do it
- ▶ Players, Matches and so on go to same Queue
- ▶ Just keep queue filled up
- ▶ A couple of process pools on simple 1-1 supervisors is all it takes

- ▶ “Tournaments of one week”
- ▶ Construct “battle graph”  $G = (V, E)$  for ranking period
- ▶ Vertices,  $V$  are the players
- ▶ Edges,  $E$ , are labeled matches,  $A \rightarrow B$  if  $A$  won over  $B$ .
- ▶ Ranking is done by a JOBS queue as well
- ▶ Counter 4, chunks of 4000 matches are enqueued
- ▶ JOBS handles parallelism



- ▶ Current performance limit is the DB query time
- ▶ Lots of ways to query-optimize
- ▶ No HiPE (native code) and G2 is an obvious candidate for it
- ▶ A typical one-week tournament is ranked in under 30 secs on 2 cores - which is acceptable.

## Results

Use R and ggplot2 to plot:

```
p <- ggplot(df, aes(x=reorder(x, y), y=y,  
                    colour=Volatility, ymin=ylo, ymax=yhi)) +  
  geom_pointrange() +  
  geom_point(aes(y=ylo), colour="black", size=1.5) +  
  coord_flip() +  
  geom_hline(aes(x=0), lty=2) ...  
  
return(p)
```

# Current Problems and work

- ▶ JOBS needs some more maturity
- ▶ I've seen corner cases of infinite message loops in JOBS
- ▶ I plan on fixing JOBS
- ▶ Also document JOBS

JOBS is awesome! We just need to push its use some more and get it into shape!

## Further work

- ▶ Detect banned players and remove them
- ▶ Tune the rating system - run simulated annealing to find optimal values of  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  for G2 and Quake Live
- ▶ Requires optimization
- ▶ Scan more matches. Around 125000 matches scanned right now